



Little Bang Brewing Company

20th March 2023

Energy Labelling on Alcoholic Beverages Proposal
Food Standards Australia & New Zealand
PO Box 5423
KINGSTON ACT 2604

Via email to submissions@foodstandards.gov.au

Response to the call for submissions– Proposal P1059 Energy labelling on alcoholic beverages

I write to you today, to humbly ask that you reconsider the proposal to introduce energy labelling on alcoholic beverages. My name is Ryan Davidson and I am the CEO of Little Bang Brewing Company.

Small craft breweries are a vibrant, entrepreneurial industry that has grown significantly in Australia - 650+ breweries have an economic output of \$1.93 Billion, employing 6,891 people. For every direct job within the industry, 3.8 jobs are created in agriculture, manufacturing, supply and hospitality - meaning the industry supports over 33,000 jobs. Within South Australia, 72 breweries operate; providing just over 2,000 jobs, boosting tourism and supporting local communities.

I would like to echo submissions made by both the national Independent Brewers Association and also the South Australian arm of the IBA, and make the following points.

- The economic climate currently for small breweries is very uncertain. Little Bang Brewing Company has felt tremendous upheaval and financial stress over the past 3 years, as have so many of our contemporaries in the industry. Any unforeseen costs, like a packaging change will be detrimental to our industry
- Any labelling change unproportionally affects smaller brewers. With smaller volumes runs, any changes to packaging take a long time to recoup. Changing our labelling to reflect new Pregnancy Warnings across literally dozens of SKUs has cost us tens of thousands of dollars. If this change was to come in, along with other proposed changes it would mean more costs we can scarcely afford at the moment, and fundamentally interfere with the process by which we develop new products. New product development is the lifeblood of our business, and we cannot operate without it.
- Reading Section 5 of the proposal, I would submit the economic impact on my business would be detrimental. I currently have 48 SKUs. If each SKU has to be relabelled that would mean a cost of over \$50,000 to our business. This amounts to wages we can't pay, products we can't make, and all the related damage to business that knocks on from that.

- Within the FSANZ submission there are references to an education campaign needed to help consumers understand the energy within alcoholic beverages. We would ask that this campaign is started in earnest before a labelling change is proposed.
- We also call for more in depth studies to ascertain the effectiveness of energy labelling, when all studies presented have concluded that there is no significant effect on consumer buying or consuming behaviour. This is a big change for our industry, and we would like to be a part of the consultation process.
- We propose that FSANZ abandon any plans of a full Nutritional Information Panel on labels for craft breweries in order to avoid consumer confusion and reduce consumers' intentions to buy.

If you would like to speak to me in regards to this submission, please find my contact details below.

Kind Regards.

