

19 July 2013 [12-13]

Call for submissions - Application A1080

Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton Line MON88701

FSANZ has assessed an Application made by Monsanto Australia Limited seeking permission for food derived from cotton line MON88701, which is genetically modified to provide tolerance to two herbicides: dicamba and glufosinate ammonium (glufosinate), and has prepared a draft food regulatory measure. Pursuant to section 31 of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991* (FSANZ Act), FSANZ now calls for submissions to assist consideration of the draft food regulatory measure.

For information about making a submission, visit the FSANZ website at information for submitters.

All submissions on applications and proposals will be published on our website. We will not publish material that is provided in-confidence, but will record that such information is held. In-confidence submissions may be subject to release under the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act 1991*. Submissions will be published as soon as possible after the end of the public comment period. Where large numbers of documents are involved, FSANZ will make these available on CD, rather than on the website.

Under section 114 of the FSANZ Act, some information provided to FSANZ cannot be disclosed. More information about the disclosure of confidential commercial information is available on the FSANZ website at information for submitters.

Submissions should be made in writing; be marked clearly with the word 'Submission' and quote the correct project number and name. While FSANZ accepts submissions in hard copy to our offices, it is more convenient and quicker to receive submissions electronically through the FSANZ website via the link on Documents for public comment. You can also email your submission directly to submissions@foodstandards.gov.au.

There is no need to send a hard copy of your submission if you have submitted it by email or via the FSANZ website. FSANZ endeavours to formally acknowledge receipt of submissions within 3 business days.

DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSIONS: 6pm (Canberra time) 29 August 2013

Submissions received after this date will not be considered unless an extension had been given before the closing date. Extensions will only be granted due to extraordinary circumstances during the submission period. Any agreed extension will be notified on the FSANZ website and will apply to all submitters.

Questions about making submissions or the application process can be sent to standards.management@foodstandards.gov.au.

Hard copy submissions may be sent to one of the following addresses:

Food Standards Australia New Zealand PO Box 7186 Canberra BC ACT 2610 AUSTRALIA Tel +61 2 6271 2222

Food Standards Australia New Zealand PO Box 10559 The Terrace WELLINGTON 6143 NEW ZEALAND Tel +64 4 978 5630

Table of Contents

1.	EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	2
2.	INTR	RODUCTION	3
	2.22.32.4	THE APPLICANT THE APPLICATION. THE CURRENT STANDARD. REASONS FOR ACCEPTING THE APPLICATION PROCEDURE FOR ASSESSMENT.	3 3 3
3.	SUM	IMARY OF THE ASSESSMENT	4
	3.2 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.3.	2 Other measures	4 6 6 7 8
4.	DRA	FT VARIATION	9
	4.1.2	2 Implementation	9
5.	REF	ERENCES	9
		IMENT A – DRAFT VARIATION TO THE <i>AUSTRALIA NEW ZEALAND FOOD STANDARDS CODE</i>	

Supporting documents

The following document which informed the assessment of this Application is available on the FSANZ website at

http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/code/applications/Pages/A1080----Food-derived-from-Herbicide-tolerant-Cotton-Line-MON88701.aspx

SD1: Safety Assessment Report: Application A1080 – Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton line MON88701

1. Executive summary

Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) received an Application from Monsanto Australia Limited on 21 January 2013. The Applicant requested a variation to Standard 1.5.2 – Food produced using Gene Technology, in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (the Code), to permit the sale and use of food derived from genetically modified (GM) cotton line MON88701, tolerant to two herbicides.

This Application is being assessed under the General Procedure.

The primary objective of FSANZ in developing or varying a food regulatory measure, as stated in section 18 of the FSANZ Act, is the protection of public health and safety. Accordingly, the safety assessment is a central part of considering an application.

The safety assessment of cotton line MON88701 is provided in Supporting Document 1. No potential public health and safety concerns have been identified. Based on the data provided in the present Application, and other available information, food derived from cotton line MON88701 is considered as safe for human consumption as food derived from conventional cotton cultivars already in the food supply.

FSANZ has prepared a draft variation to Standard 1.5.2 to include food derived from cotton line MON88701.

2. Introduction

2.1 The Applicant

Monsanto Australia Limited is a subsidiary of Monsanto Company, a multinational seed and technology provider to the agricultural sector and food industries.

2.2 The Application

Application A1080 was submitted on 21 January 2013. The Application seeks approval for food derived from genetically modified (GM) cotton line MON88701 under Standard 1.5.2 – Food produced using Gene Technology.

Cotton line MON88701 is tolerant to two broad spectrum herbicides, dicamba and glufosinate ammonium through the introduction of the *dmo* gene from *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and the *bar* gene from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus*. These genes from soil bacteria encode the DMO and PAT proteins respectively. Neither DMO nor PAT protein is new to the food supply. Expression of the PAT protein has been used in many previously assessed GM foods to confer tolerance to glufosinate ammonium. The DMO protein has previously been assessed by FSANZ in Application A1063 – dicamba tolerant soybean line MON87708.

2.3 The current Standard

Pre-market approval is necessary before food derived from a GM crop may enter the Australian and New Zealand food supply. Approval of GM foods under Standard 1.5.2 is contingent on completion of a comprehensive pre-market safety assessment. Foods that have been assessed and approved are listed in the Schedule to the Standard.

Standard 1.5.2 contains specific labelling provisions for approved GM foods. GM foods and ingredients (including food additives and processing aids from GM sources) must be identified on labels with the words 'genetically modified', if novel DNA and/or novel protein from an approved GM variety is present in the final food, or the food has altered characteristics. In the latter case, the Standard also allows for additional labelling about the nature of the altered characteristics on a case-by-case basis.

2.4 Reasons for accepting the Application

The Application was accepted for assessment because:

- it complied with the procedural requirements under subsection 22(2)
- it related to a matter that warranted the variation of a food regulatory measure
- it was not so similar to a previous application for the variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought to be rejected.

2.5 Procedure for assessment

The Application is being assessed under the General Procedure.

3. Summary of the assessment

3.1 Risk assessment

The safety assessment of cotton line MON88701 is provided in the supporting document (SD1) and included the following key elements:

- a characterisation of the transferred genes, their origin, function and stability in the cotton genome
- the changes at the level of DNA and protein in the whole food
- detailed compositional analyses
- evaluation of intended and unintended changes
- the potential for the newly expressed proteins to be either allergenic or toxic in humans.

The assessment of MON88701 cotton was restricted to food safety and nutritional issues. Any risks related to the release into the environment of GM plants used in food production, or the safety of animal feed or animals consuming feed derived from GM plants have not been addressed in this assessment.

No potential public health and safety concerns have been identified.

On the basis of the scientific data provided in the present Application, and other available information, food derived from cotton line MON88701 is as safe for human consumption as food derived from conventional cotton cultivars.

3.2 Risk management

When assessing this Application and the subsequent development of a food regulatory measure, FSANZ has had regard to the following matters in section 29 of the FSANZ Act:

- whether costs that would arise from a food regulatory measure developed or varied as a result of the application outweigh the direct and indirect benefits to the community, Government or industry that would arise from the development or variation of the food regulatory measure
- whether other measures (available to FSANZ or not) would be more cost-effective than a food regulatory measure developed or varied as a result of the Application
- any relevant New Zealand standards
- any other relevant matters.

Two regulatory options were considered: (1) prepare a draft variation to Standard 1.5.2 to include food derived from cotton line MON88701; or (2) reject the Application.

FSANZ has decided to prepare a draft variation to Standard 1.5.2 because approval of food derived from MON88701 cotton raises no public health and safety concerns, and because the potential benefits of approving the variation outweigh the potential costs.

3.2.1 Cost/benefit analysis

A consideration of the cost/benefit of the regulatory options is not intended to be an exhaustive, quantitative financial analysis of the options as most of the impacts that are considered cannot be assigned a dollar value. Rather, the analysis seeks to highlight the qualitative impacts of criteria that are relevant to each option. These criteria are deliberately limited to those involving broad areas such as trade, consumer information and compliance.

The Office of Best Practice Regulation (OBPR), in a letter to FSANZ dated 24 November 2010 (reference 12065), provided an exemption from the need for the OBPR to be informed about GM food applications.

3.2.1.1 Option 1 – Develop a draft variation to Standard 1.5.2

Consumers:

Broader availability of imported food products as there would be no restriction on any foods containing cotton line MON88701.

Potentially, no increase in the prices of imported foods manufactured using comingled cottonseed products.

Appropriate labelling would allow consumers wishing to avoid certain GM products to do so.

Government: Benefit that if cotton line MON88701 was detected in imported foods, approval would ensure compliance of those products with the Code. This would ensure no potential for trade disruption on regulatory grounds.

> Approval of cotton line MON88701 would ensure no conflict with WTO responsibilities.

In the case of approved GM foods, monitoring is required to ensure compliance with the labelling requirements, and in the case of GM foods that have not been approved, monitoring is required to ensure they are not illegally entering the food supply. The costs of monitoring are thus expected to be comparable, whether a GM food is approved or not.

Industry:

Importers of processed foods containing cottonseed oil or derivatives from MON88701 would benefit as they would be compliant with the Code, allowing broader market access and increased choice in raw materials.

Retailers may be able to offer a broader range of imported foods containing cottonseed products.

Possible cost to food industry as some food ingredients derived from cotton line MON88701 would be required to be labelled.

3.2.1.2 Option 2 – Reject application

Consumers:

Possible restriction in the availability of imported cotton products to those products that do not contain cotton line MON87701.

No effect on consumers wishing to avoid GM foods, as food from MON88701 cotton is not currently permitted in the food supply.

Potential increase in price of certain imported foods due to requirement for segregation of cotton line MON88701.

Government: Potential effect if considered inconsistent with WTO obligations, but this would be in terms of trade policy rather than in government revenue.

<u>Industry:</u> Possible restriction on imports of certain food products if cotton line

MON88701 were to be commercialised overseas.

Potential longer-term effect - any successful WTO challenge has the potential to impact adversely on food industry.

As food from cotton line MON88701 has been found to be as safe as food from conventional cotton cultivars, not preparing a draft variation would offer little benefit to consumers, as approval of cotton line MON88701 by other countries could limit the availability of certain imported food products in the Australian and New Zealand markets.

In addition, this option would result in the requirement for segregation of any products containing cotton line MON88701 from those containing approved cotton lines, which would be likely to increase the costs of imported cotton-derived foods.

Based on the conclusions of the safety assessments, the potential benefits of approving the variation outweighed the potential costs.

3.2.2 Other measures

There were no measures that could achieve the same result other than an amendment to Standard 1.5.2.

3.2.3 Relevant New Zealand standards

Standard 1.5.2 applies in New Zealand.

3.2.4 Any other relevant matters

The Applicant has submitted an application seeking regulatory approval of MON88701 cotton in several other countries, as listed in Table 1.

Table 1: List of countries to whom applications for regulatory approval of MON88701 cotton have been submitted

Country	Agency	Request
USA	Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), Department of Agriculture (USDA)	Determination of Nonregulated Status for MON88701, and progenies derived from crosses with other cotton lines.
	Food and Drug Administration (USFDA)	Food and feed safety and nutritional assessment.
Canada	Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA)	Environment and animal feed
	Health Canada (HC)	Food approval
Japan	Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare (MHLW)	Food use
Korea	Ministry of Food and Drug Safety (Formerly Korea Food and Drug Administration)	Food approval
	Rural Development Administration (RDA)	Feed approval

The Applicant has indicated that submissions will be made to countries that are significant importers of cotton or food and feed products from countries where MON88701 cotton will be grown, and where established regulatory review processes are in place.

This will result in submissions to a number of additional governmental regulatory agencies including, but not limited to, Ministry of Agriculture, People's Republic of China, Japan's Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (for feed use), the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Mexico, and others.

It is the Applicant's intention that cotton line MON88701 will be commercially cultivated in major production areas in North America and in Australia, but not in New Zealand. Cultivation in Australia could have an impact on the environment, which would need to be independently assessed by the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR), before commercial release could be permitted.

3.2.5 Addressing FSANZ's objectives for standards-setting

FSANZ has also considered the three objectives in subsection 18(1) of the FSANZ Act during the assessment.

3.2.5.1 Protection of public health and safety

Food derived from cotton line MON88701 has been assessed according to the safety assessment guidelines prepared by FSANZ (2007).

No public health and safety concerns were identified in this assessment. Based on the available scientific evidence, including detailed studies provided by the Applicant, food derived from cotton line MON88701 is as safe as food derived from other commercial cotton cultivars.

3.2.5.2 The provision of adequate information relating to food to enable consumers to make informed choices

GM foods are labelled to help consumers make an informed choice.

In accordance with Standard 1.5.2, food derived from cotton line MON88701 would be required to be labelled as genetically modified if it contains novel DNA or novel protein, or if it has altered characteristics. MON88701 cotton does not have altered characteristics.

Cottonseed oil and linters are likely to be the only foods derived from MON88701 cotton, and both are highly refined derivatives of cotton. Extensive processing of cottonseed during oil production means novel protein and novel DNA are not likely to be present and therefore it is unlikely to require labelling. Cottonseed linters are essentially pure cellulose and therefore food products containing linters are also unlikely to require labelling.

3.2.5.3 The prevention of misleading or deceptive conduct

Detection methodology

An Expert Advisory Group (EAG), involving laboratory personnel and representatives of the Australian and New Zealand jurisdictions has been formed by the Implementation Sub-Committee for Food Regulation [a subcommittee of the Food Regulation Standing Committee (FRSC)] to identify and evaluate appropriate methods of analysis associated with all applications to FSANZ, including GM applications. The EAG has indicated that for GM applications, the full DNA sequence of the insert and adjacent genomic DNA are sufficient data to be provided. Using this information, any analytical laboratory would be able to develop a PCR-based detection method. The relevant sequence information for MON88701 has been supplied by the Applicant to satisfy the requirement for detection methodology in the FSANZ Application Handbook (FSANZ, 2011).

3.2.5.4 Subsection 18(2) considerations

FSANZ has also had regard to the matters listed in subsection 18(2):

 The need for standards to be based on risk analysis using the best available scientific evidence.

FSANZ's approach to the safety assessment of all GM foods applies concepts and principles outlined in the Codex General Principles for the Risk Analysis of Foods derived from Biotechnology (Codex, 2004). Based on these principles, the risk analysis undertaken for MON88701 cotton used the best scientific evidence available. The Applicant submitted to FSANZ, a comprehensive dossier of quality-assured raw experimental data. In addition to the information supplied by the Applicant, other available resource material including published scientific literature and general technical information was used in the safety assessment.

• The promotion of consistency between domestic and international food standards.

This is not a consideration as there are no relevant international standards.

• The desirability of an efficient and internationally competitive food industry.

The inclusion of genetically modified foods in the food supply, providing there are no safety concerns, allows for innovation by developers and a widening of the technological base for the production of foods. Cotton line MON88701 is a new crop designed to provide growers in countries around the world with an alternative to existing weed management strategies.

• The promotion of fair trading in food.

The cost/benefit analysis in Section 3.2.1, lists a number of considerations that address fair trading with respect to cotton line MON88701.

• Any written policy guidelines formulated by the Ministerial Council.

For GM foods, there are no relevant ministerial guidelines.

3.3. Risk communication

FSANZ developed and applied a basic communication strategy to this Application. All calls for submissions are notified via the FSANZ Notification Circular, media release and through FSANZ's social media tools and Food Standards News. Subscribers and interested parties are also notified about the availability of reports for public comment.

The process by which FSANZ considers standards is open, accountable, consultative and transparent. Public submissions are called to obtain the views of interested parties on issues raised by the application and the impacts of regulatory options. FSANZ also publishes all applications on the FSANZ website.

The draft variation will be considered for approval by the FSANZ Board taking into account public comments received on this Call for Submissions.

The Applicant and individuals and organisations that make submissions on this Application will be notified at each stage of the assessment.

If the draft variation to the Code is approved by the FSANZ Board, that decision will be notified to the Forum. If the Board's decision is not subject to a request for a review, the Applicant and stakeholders, including the public, will be notified of the gazettal of the variation to the Code in the national press and on the website.

3.3.1 World Trade Organization (WTO)

As members of the World Trade Organization (WTO), Australia and New Zealand are obliged to notify WTO member nations where proposed mandatory regulatory measures are inconsistent with any existing or imminent international standards and the proposed measure may have a significant effect on trade.

There are no relevant international standards and amending the Code to permit food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line MON88701 is unlikely to have a significant effect on international trade as it would permit food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line MON88701 to be imported into Australia and New Zealand and sold, where currently sale is prohibited. Therefore, a notification to the WTO under Australia's and New Zealand's obligations under the WTO Technical Barriers to Trade or Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures Agreement was not considered necessary.

4. Draft variation

The draft variation to Standard 1.5.2 is at Attachment A.

A draft Explanatory Statement is at Attachment B.

4.1.2 Implementation

The variation would take effect on gazettal.

5. References

Codex (2004) *Principles for the risk analysis of foods derived from modern biotechnology*. Report No. CAC/GL 44-2003, Codex Alimentarius Commission, Rome. http://www.codexalimentarius.net/web/standard_list.do?lang=en.

FSANZ (2007) Safety Assessment of Genetically Modified Foods – Guidance Document. Document prepared by Food Standards Australia New Zealand. http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/ srcfiles/GM%20FINAL%20Sept%2007L%20_2_.pdf.

FSANZ (2011) *Application Handbook*. Prepared by Food Standards Australia New Zealand. http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/foodstandards/changingthecode/applicationshandbook.cfm.

Attachments

- Draft variation to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* Draft Explanatory Statement A.
- B.

Attachment A – Draft variation to the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code*



Food Standards (Application A1080 – Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton MON88701) Variation

The Board of Food Standards Australia New Zealand gives notice of the making of this variation under section 92 of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991*. The Standard commences on the date specified in clause 3 of this variation.

Dated [to be completed by Standards Management Officer]

Standards Management Officer
Delegate of the Board of Food Standards Australia New Zealand

Note:

This variation will be published in the Commonwealth of Australia Gazette No. FSC XX on XX Month 20XX. This means that this date is the gazettal date for the purposes of clause 3 of the variation.

1 Name

This instrument is the Food Standards (Application A1080 - Food derived from Herbicide-tolerant Cotton MON88701) Variation

2 Variation to Standards in the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code

The Schedule varies the Standard in the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code.

3 Commencement

This variation commences on the date of gazettal.

SCHEDULE

[1] Standard 1.5.2 is varied by inserting in numerical order in the Schedule

3.13 Food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line MON88701

12

Attachment B - Draft Explanatory Statement

1. Authority

Section 13 of the *Food Standards Australia New Zealand Act 1991* (the FSANZ Act) provides that the functions of Food Standards Australia New Zealand (the Authority) include the development of standards and variations of standards for inclusion in the *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* (the Code).

Division 1 of Part 3 of the FSANZ Act specifies that the Authority may accept applications for the development or variation of food regulatory measures, including standards. This Division also stipulates the procedure for considering an application for the development or variation of food regulatory measures.

FSANZ accepted Application A1080 which seeks permission for the sale and use of food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line MON88701. The Authority considered the Application in accordance with Division 1 of Part 3 and has prepared a draft variation to a Standard.

2. Purpose

Standard 1.5.2 of the Code currently sets out the permission and conditions for the sale and use of foods produced using gene technology. Food derived from cotton line MON88701 is not currently listed in the Schedule to Standard 1.5.2 and, as such is not permitted for sale or use in food. Therefore, FSANZ is proposing to vary Standard 1.5.2 by inserting into the Schedule a reference to food derived from cotton line MON88701.

3. Documents incorporated by reference

The variations to food regulatory measures do not incorporate any documents by reference.

4. Consultation

In accordance with the procedure in Division 1 of Part 3 of the FSANZ Act, the Authority's consideration of Application A1080 will include one round of public consultation following an assessment and the preparation of a draft variation.

A Regulation Impact Statement (RIS) was not required because the use of food derived from cotton line MON88701, if approved, would be voluntary and would be likely to have a minor impact on business and individuals.

5. Statement of compatibility with human rights

This instrument is exempt from the requirements for a statement of compatibility with human rights as it is a non-disallowable instrument under section 94 of the FSANZ Act.

6. Variation

This item adds food derived from herbicide-tolerant cotton line MON88701 into the Schedule to Standard 1.5.2.