

55 Blackall Street Barton ACT 2600 Australia PO Box 7186 Canberra MC ACT 2610

alia Australia

Ph: 61 2 6271 2258 Fax: 61 2 6271 2278

www.anzfa.gov.au

24 May 2000 12/00

INFORMATION SUMMARY

**APPLICATION A409** 

#### MAXIMUM RESIDUE LIMITS

The Australia New Zealand Food Authority has received an application on 14 March and 16 April 2000 to amend the Australian *Food Standards Code* on the above matter. The Authority's Preliminary Assessment Report is attached and provides further detail. The Authority now invites public submissions on any issue raised in the Report for the purposes of making a full assessment.

In accordance with the transitional arrangements for food standards between Australia and New Zealand, individual country MRLs for agricultural and veterinary chemicals continue to apply for these standards and this application proposes changes for MRLs for food sold in Australia, whether imported or domestically produced.

Food sold in Australia which is either domestically produced or imported (other than from New Zealand) must comply with the Australian MRLs (ie in the *Food Standards Code*). Food imported from New Zealand must comply with either the New Zealand MRLs (ie in the New Zealand Food Standards 1999 and the New Zealand Food Regulations 1984) or the Australian MRLs.

Food sold in New Zealand which is either domestically produced or imported (other than from Australia) must comply with the MRL provisions in the New Zealand *Food Regulations 1984*. Food imported from Australia must comply with either the New Zealand MRLs or the Australian MRLs.

#### PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT REPORT

Maximum Residue Limits – March and April 2000 MRLs

**Applicant**: National Registration Authority for Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals (NRA).

**Date received**: 14 March and 6 April 2000

**BACKGROUND:** 

The NRA has registered or varied the registration of specific chemicals. This application seeks to include:

- MRLs for a **new** chemical carfentrazone-ethyl.
- New MRLs (**extensions of use**) for carbendazim, chlorothalonil, chlorpyrifos, dimethomorph, emamectin benzoate, fipronil, fluazifop-butyl, fludioxonil, glyphosate, glufosinate-ammonium, lufenuron, mancozeb (dithiocarbamates) iprodione, oryzalin, oxyfluorfen, parathion-methyl, propiconazole, pymetrozine and metalaxyl.
- **Changes** to MRLs for fludioxinil, iprodione, lufenuron, mancozeb, spinosad and trichlorfon.
- **Deletions** to MRLs for mancozeb (dithiocarbamates),
- **Deletions** following expiry of permits for cyanamide, diofenolan, fluvalinate, phosphorous acid.
- **Notification** of a change to the registration status and consequent commodity MRLs for parathion-methyl as a result of the existing chemical review program by the NRA.

The requested changes to Schedule 1 of Standard A14 are summarised at **Attachment 1**. The evaluation reports sent to ANZFA from the NRA justifying the proposed MRL changes are available upon request (these will be emailed or posted to interested parties).

#### **OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this application is to vary Standard A14 - Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) by changing the MRL list as indicated in Attachment 1 to allow maximum flexibility for producers whilst encouraging good agricultural practice. The chemicals indicated in these Attachments have been cleared by the NRA and registered for the uses associated with the requested MRLs.

### POSSIBLE OPTIONS

**Option 1.** Accept the application and list the requested MRLs in Schedule 1 of Standard A14. This outcome would be based on a risk assessment, which indicated no public health and safety concerns at the predicted levels of intake.

**Option 2.** Remain with the status quo. If a possible risk to public health and safety is identified the MRLs will be referred back to the NRA for further consideration.

### **IDENTIFICATION OF AFFECTED PARTIES**

The parties affected by this application include:

- growers and producers of domestic and export food commodities;
- consumers, including domestic and overseas customers;
- importers of agricultural produce and foods; and
- Commonwealth, State and Territory agencies involved in monitoring agricultural and veterinary chemicals in food.

#### POTENTIAL REGULATORY IMPACTS

### Option 1:-

- greater flexibility for producers and importers;
- no additional public health risk resulting from consumption of commodities with the recommended MRLs; and
- no additional impact for government monitoring programs.

### Option 2:-

- less flexibility for producers and importers;
- possibility of reducing the range and quality of commodities for consumers; and
- discrepancy between agricultural and health legislation regarding permitted MRLs.

Registration has been granted for the chemicals listed in Attachment 1 for specified purposes. The listing of MRLs in Schedule 1 of Standard A14 will allow food containing residues up to the MRL of the listed chemicals to be traded. This has an obvious advantage to food producers. Consumers will also be advantaged by potential improvements in the variety of available food. The proposed changes to Standard A14 will complete the regulatory requirements regarding the changes to the use of these agricultural and veterinary chemicals.

#### **CONSIDERATION OF ISSUES UNDER SECTION 13**

- (a) This application relates to a matter that can be developed as a food regulatory measure.
- (b) This application is not so similar to a previous application that it ought not be accepted.
- (c) There are no other measures that would be more cost effective than a food regulatory measure.
- (d) The costs that would arise from a food regulatory measure developed as a result of the application would outweigh the direct and indirect benefits that would arise from the measure.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

The above applications fulfil the requirements for preliminary assessment as prescribed in Section 13 of the *Australia New Zealand Food Authority Act 1991*.

Based on the preliminary assessment report, the Authority has determined that this application would result in a change of minor significance and complexity to the *Food Standards Code* and that no one would be adversely affected if the Authority omitted under section 36 of the *Australia New Zealand Food Authority Act 1991* to delete the second round of public comments and proceed directly to Inquiry. Should significant issues arise out of the initial public round of comments ANZFA will proceed only to the Full Assessment stage and undertake another round of comments to enable these issues to be addressed fully.

If accepted by the Authority and agreed to by the Australia New Zealand Food Standards Council, an amendment to the Code, as suggested by the applicant, would be included in Standard A14, which would allow food to be sold containing residues of the chemicals up to the limit of the MRL.

## **REGULATION IMPACT ANALYSIS**

The Authority develops food regulation suitable for adoption in Australia and New Zealand. It is required to consider the impact, including compliance costs to business, of various regulatory (and non-regulatory) options on all sectors of the community that includes the consumers, food industry and governments in both countries. The regulation impact assessment will identify and evaluate, though not be limited to, the costs and benefits of the regulation, and its health, economic and

social impacts. In the course of assessing the regulatory impact, the Authority is guided by the Australian *Guide to Regulation* (Commonwealth of Australia 1997) and *New Zealand Code of Good Regulatory Practice*.

To assist in this process, comment on potential impacts or issues pertaining to these regulatory options are sought from all interested parties in order to complete the development of the regulation impact statement. Public submissions should clearly identify relevant impact(s) or issues and provide support documentation where possible.

# WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION (WTO) NOTIFICATION

Australia and New Zealand are members of the WTO and are bound as parties to WTO agreements. In Australia, an agreement developed by the Council of Australian Governments (COAG) requires States and Territories to be bound as parties to those WTO agreements to which the Commonwealth is a signatory. Under the agreement between the Governments of Australia and New Zealand on Uniform Food Standards, ANZFA is required to ensure that food standards are consistent with the obligations of both countries as members of the WTO.

In certain circumstances Australia and New Zealand have an obligation to notify the WTO of changes to food standards to enable other member countries of the WTO to make comment. Notification is required in the case of any new or changed standards which may have a significant trade effect and which depart from the relevant international standard (or where no international standard exists).

Matters relating to public health and safety may be notified as a Sanitary or Phytosanitary (SPS) notification, and other matters as a Technical Barrier to Trade (TBT) notification. It is considered that this application may constitute a potential Sanitary Phytosanitary (SPS) matter and needs to be notified to the WTO.

### FOOD STANDARDS SETTING IN AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND

The Governments of Australia and New Zealand entered an Agreement in December 1995 establishing a system for the development of joint food standards. The Australia New Zealand Food Authority is now developing a joint *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* which will provide compositional and labelling standards for food in both Australia and New Zealand.

Until the joint *Australia New Zealand Food Standards Code* is finalised the following arrangements for the two countries apply:

- <u>Food imported into New Zealand other than from Australia</u> must comply with either the Australian *Food Standards Code*, as gazetted in New Zealand, or the New Zealand *Food Regulations 1984*, but not a combination of both. However, in all cases maximum residue limits for agricultural and veterinary chemicals must comply solely with those limits specified in the New Zealand *Food Regulations 1984*.
- <u>Food imported into Australia other than from New Zealand</u> must comply solely with the Australian *Food Standards Code*.
- <u>Food imported into New Zealand from Australia</u> must comply with either the Australian *Food Standards Code* or the New Zealand *Food Regulations 1984*, but not a combination of both.
- <u>Food imported into Australia from New Zealand</u> must comply with the Australian *Food Standards Code*. However, under the provisions of the Trans-Tasman Mutual Recognition

Arrangement, food may be imported into Australia from New Zealand if it complies with the New Zealand *Food Regulations 1984* or *Dietary Supplements Regulations 1985*.

• <u>Food manufactured in Australia and sold in Australia</u> must comply solely with the Australian *Food Standards Code*, except for exemptions granted in Standard T1.

In addition to the above, all food sold in New Zealand must comply with the New Zealand *Fair Trading Act 1986* and all food sold in Australia must comply with the Australian *Trade Practices Act 1974*, and the respective Australian State and Territory *Fair Trading Acts*.

Any person or organisation may apply to ANZFA to have the *Food Standards Code* amended. In addition, ANZFA may develop proposals to amend the Australian *Food Standards Code* or to develop joint Australia New Zealand food standards. ANZFA can provide advice on the requirements for applications to amend the *Food Standards Code*.

#### INVITATION FOR PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

Written submissions containing technical or other relevant information which will assist the Authority in undertaking a full assessment on matters relevant to the application, including consideration of its regulatory impact, are invited from interested individuals and organisations. Technical information presented should be in sufficient detail to allow independent scientific assessment.

Submissions providing more general comment and opinion are also invited. The Authority's policy on the management of submissions is available from the Standards Liaison Officer upon request.

The processes of the Authority are open to public scrutiny, and any submissions received will ordinarily be placed on the public register of the Authority and made available for public inspection. If you wish any confidential information contained in a submission to remain confidential to the Authority, you should clearly identify the sensitive information and provide justification for treating it in confidence. The *Australia New Zealand Food Authority Act 1991* requires the Authority to treat in confidence trade secrets relating to food and any other information relating to food, the commercial value of which would be or could reasonably be expected to be, destroyed or diminished by disclosure.

Following its full assessment of the application the Authority may prepare a draft standard or draft variation to a standard (and supporting draft regulatory impact statement), or decide to reject the application. If a draft standard or draft variation is prepared, it is then circulated to interested parties, including those from whom submissions were received, with a further invitation to make written submissions on the draft. Any such submissions will then be taken into consideration during the inquiry which the Authority will hold to consider the draft standard or draft variation to a standard.

All correspondence and submissions on this matter should be addressed to the **Project Manager - Application A409** at one of the following addresses:

Australia New Zealand Food Authority PO Box 7186 Canberra Mail Centre ACT 2610 AUSTRALIA Tel (02) 6271 2222 Fax (02) 6271 2278 Australia New Zealand Food Authority PO Box 10559 The Terrace WELLINGTON 6036 NEW ZEALAND Tel (04) 473 9942 Fax (04) 473 9855 The Authority should receive submissions by **5 July 2000.** General queries on this matter and other Authority business can be directed to the Standards Liaison Officer at the above address or by Email on <slo@anzfa.gov.au>. Submissions should not be sent by Email as the Authority cannot guarantee receipt. Requests for more general information on the Authority can be directed to the Information Officer at the above address or by Email <info@anzfa.gov.au>.

## **ATTACHMENT 1**

A summary of the requested MRLs for each chemical and an outline of the justification supporting the requested changes to Standard A14 are provided below. Full evaluation reports are available from the Project Manager of  $\bf A$  409.

CHEMICAL	MRL	JUSTIFICATION		
Food	(mg/kg)			
	HERBICIDES			
Carfentrazone-ethyl				
Cereal grains	0.05*	A new MRL for post-emergence		
Edible offal (mammalian)	0.05*	control of broadleaf weeds in winter		
Eggs	0.05*	cereals. Animal commodity MRLs		
Meat (mammalian)	0.05*	requested to accommodate feeding of		
Milks	0.025*	the treated grain to animals.		
Poultry meat	0.05*			
Poultry edible offal of	0.05*	NEDI=1.5% ADI		
Fluazifop butyl		An extension of use for control of		
Coffee beans	T1.0	annual and perennial grasses in coffee		
	(Sunset date 1	crops.		
	June 2002)			
		NTMDI=63% ADI		
Glufosinate ammonium		An extension of use for control of		
Coffee beans	T0.05*	annual and perennial broad-leaved		
	(Sunset date 1	weeds and grasses in coffee crops.		
	June 2002)			
	·	NTMDI=18% ADI		

7

<b>Glyphosate</b> Coffee beans	T0.2 (Sunset date 1 June 2002)	An extension of use for control of broad leaf weeds and grasses in coffee crops, and an <i>increase</i> in the MRL for mung beans.
Pulses (except chick-peas and soybeans; requested in A405)	0.1* (Deletion)	
Mung beans (dry)	T10 (Sunset date 31 December 2000) 0.1*	NEDI=1.4% ADI
Pulses (except chick-peas, mung beans and soybeans)		TVLDI-1.470 ADI
Oryzalin		An extension of use for control of
Coffee beans	T0.1	broad leaf weeds and grasses in
	(Sunset date 1 June 2002)	coffee crops.
		NTMDI=0.4% ADI
Oxyfluorfen		
Coffee beans	T0.05 (Sunset date 1 June 2002)	An extension of use for control of broad leaf weeds and grasses in coffee crops.
Cotton seed	0.05*	An extension of use as a pre-emergent herbicide prior to sowing.
		An extension of use for control of
Brassica (cole or cabbage)		broad leaf weeds and grasses in a
vegetables, Head cabbages,		variety of tropical and sub-tropical
Flowerhead brassicae		crops
	0.05*	
Tropical and sub-tropical		NTMDI=1.8% ADI (DIAMOND
fruit (inedible peel)	0.01*	model)
	0.01*	NTMDI=1.4% (NRA calculation)

CHEMICAL	MRL	JUSTIFICATION
Food	(mg/kg)	
	SECTICIDES and Ac	CARACIDES
Chlorpyrifos  Vegetables [except asparagus; brassica vegetables; cassava; celery; potato; tomato]	0.01* (deletion)	An extension of use for a minor-use, off-label permit for control of cutworm and wingless grasshopper in leeks.
Vegetables [except asparagus; brassica vegetables; cassava; celery; leek; potato; tomato] Leek	0.01* T5 (Sunset date 1	NEDI=83%ADI 1996 ATDS=3% of ADI (for highest consumers on a per kg bodyweight basis)
	November 2002)	
Emamectin benzoate Brassica (cole or cabbage) vegetables, head cabbages, flowerhead brassicae  Cotton seed	T0.005 (Deletion) T0.005 (Deletion)	An increase in the MRL for control of insects in Brassica. Animal MRLs are requested to cover the feeding of treated crops to animals from use of the chemical.
Brassica (cole or cabbage) vegetables, head cabbages, flowerhead Cotton seed	0.02  T0.005 (Sunset date 31 October 2001)  0.002*	NEDI=2.6% ADI
Meat (mammalian) Edible offal, mammalian Milks	0.002* 0.005*	

Fipronil		An extension of use for an efficacy trial
Wine-grapes	T0.01*(Sunset	for control of insects on wine grapes.
<b>3 1</b>	date 30 June	
	2000)	NEDI=65% ADI
Lufenuron	·	
Cotton seed	T0.02 to T0.2	An increase for cotton seed and an
Cotton seed oil, crude	T0.5	<i>extension of use</i> for control of insects
Milks	T0.2	on crops. Animal MRLs are
Meat (mammalian), in the		requested to cover the feeding of
fat	T1	treated crops to animals from use of
Edible offal (mammalian)	T0.01*	the chemical.
Eggs	T0.05	
Poultry, edible offal of	T0.01*	NEDI=21% ADI
Poultry meat [in the fat]	T1	
	(Sunset dates for	
	temporary	
	MRLs 1 July	
	2002	
Parathion-methyl		
Cotton seed	1 (Deletion)	Following a review of parathion-
Cotton seed oil, crude	0.05 (Deletion)	methyl under the NRA's Existing
Edible offal (mammalian)	0.05 (Deletion)	Chemical Review Program, the NRA
Fruits	1 (Deletion)	have requested deletions to a number
Meat [mammalian]	0.05 (Deletion)	of commodities and have requested
Milks	0.05 (Deletion)	MRLs in a range of other
Vegetables	1 (Deletion)	commodities. The temporary MRLs
		expire in 3 years after this review is
Brassica vegetables	T0.1	finalised or as determined by the
Carrot	T0.5	NRA. Maintenance of the temporary
Celery	T3	MRL is dependent of the registrant or
Cotton seed	T1	person or group wishing to retain the
Citrus fruit	T1	MRL formally undertaking to
Edible offal (mammalian)	T0.05*	generate the requested residue data
Fruiting vegetables,	TT14	within the allocated time frame.
cucurbits	T1	NIEDI 070/ADI
Fruiting vegetables, other		NEDI=87%ADI
than cucurbits (except sweet	TO 0	
corn)	T0.2	
Grapes	T0.5	
Legume vegetables	T0.5	
Meat [mammalian] Milks	T0.05*	
Pome fruits	T0.05*	
Potato	T0.5	
Pulses	0.05*	
Stone fruits	T0.2	
Stone Iruits	T0.2	

Sweet corn	0.1*	
Pymetrozine Melons, except watermelons	T0.02	An extension of use for control of plant-sucking insects, such as aphids and whitefly.
Watermelon	T0.02 (Sunset date 31 December 2000)	NEDI=2% ADI
Spinosad		
Sweet corn (kernels) Sweet corn (corn on the cob)	T0.1 (Deletion) 0.02	A decrease in the MRL and a change in commodity for control of caterpillar pests on corn.  NEDI=7% ADI
Trichlorfon Vegetables [except beetroot, brussel sprouts, cauliflower, celery, kale, pulses, sweet corn (corn-on-the-cob)	0.1 (Deletion)	A change in the MRL for control of fruit-fly on peppers.
Vegetables [except beetroot, brussel sprouts, cauliflower, celery, kale, peppers, pulses, sweet corn (corn-on- the-cob)	0.1 T0.5 (Sunset date 5 May 2001	NEDI=97% ADI
Peppers		

CHEMICAL	MRL	JUSTIFICATION
Food	(mg/kg)	
	<b>FUNGICID</b>	ES
Carbendazim		
Custard apple		
	T1	An extension of use for a minor use
		permit for control of fungal diseases
	(Sunset date 31	on custard apples.
	December 2002)	
		NEDI=73%ADI
Chlorothalonil		
Sunflower seeds	T0.01*	An extension of use for an emergency
		use permit for control of Head rot.
		1996  ATDS = 0.4%  ADI
Dimethomorph		An extension of use for control of
Poppy seed	0.02*	downy mildew on oilseed poppies.
		NTMDI=3% of ADI
Fludioxinil		A decrease in the MRL as a result of re-

Potato	T0.05 to 0.02	evaluation of residue trial data.
		NEDI=0.03% ADI
Iprodione		An extension of use for an emergency
Sunflower seeds	T0.05*	use permit for control of Head rot.
		A decrease to the MRL for macadamia
Macadamia nuts	T0.2 to 0.01*	nuts as a result of re-evaluation of
Almonds	0.02*	residue trial data, and establishment
		of an MRL in almonds.
		NEDI=71% ADI
		1996 ATDS=3% ADI
Mancozeb		An extension of use for downy mildew
(dithiocarbamates)		on oilseed poppies and target spot in
		capsicum. <i>Maintenance</i> of the
Poppy seed	0.2*	temporary MRL in grapes, and
Pulses	0.53	<i>changes</i> for various fruiting vegetables
Fruiting Vegetables (other		and pulses.
than cucurbits)	0.5 (Deletion)	
	0.5 (Deletion)	1994 ATDS=46% of ADI (in highest
Beans (dry)		consumers on a per/kg bodyweight
Broad bean (dry)[faba bean]	T0.5 (Deletion)	basis). Not analysed in 1996 ATDS.
Chick-pea (dry)	T0.5 (Deletion)	
Peas (dry)	3 (Deletion)	More refined dietary calculations will
Egg plant [Aubergine]	3 (Deletion)	be pursued by the NRA and ANZFA
Okra		following completion of the review of
Sweet corn (corn-on-the-	0.5 (Deletion)	dithiocarbamates under the NRA's
cob)	3 (Deletion)	Existing Chemical Review Program.
Tomato		

Metalaxyl		Animal MRLs are requested to cover
Edible offal (mammalian)	0.5	the feeding of treated crops to
		animals from use of the chemical to
Meat [mammalian][in the		control fungi on grapes and
fat]	0.05*	macadamias.
		NEDI=6%ADI
Propiconazole		
Mushrooms	0.05*	An extension of use for control of
		fungus on timber trays used in
		mushroom production.
		NEDI=5% ADI

CHEMICAL	MRL	JUSTIFICATION
Food	(mg/kg)	
DEL.	ETIONS OF MRLS	FOR PERMITS
Cyanamide		Deletion of MRLs following expiry of
Blueberries	T0.05*	permits and use no longer required.
Diofenolan		Deletion of MRLs following expiry of
Sheep, edible offal of	T0.2	permits and use no longer required.
Sheep meat [in the fat]	T5	
Fluvalinate		Requested in A405 (withdrew from
Asparagus	T0.5	drafting of A405)
Phosphorous acid		Deletion of MRLs following expiry of
Plums	T100	permits and use no longer required.

- 1. NEDI National Estimated Dietary Intake
- 2. NTMDI National Theoretical Maximum Dietary Intake
- 3. ATDS-Australian Total Diet Survey

T indicates the MRL is subject to revision following review of additional residue data.

<sup>\*</sup>indicates the MRL is set at or about the limit of determination.