

6 August 2008 [13-08]

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

A1010	Date Received: 19 June 2008 Date Due for completion of Administrative Assessment: 10 July 2008 Date Administrative Assessment Completed: 7 July 2008		
Applicant: Arumpo Bentonite Pty Ltd			Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:
Title: Amendment to Bentonite Specification			Standard 1.3.4 – Identity and Purity
Brief Description			
To approve a broader pH range in the specification for bentonite.			
Procedure: General		Cost Category (General Procedure): Level 1	Estimated start work:
		Up to 500 hours	2 nd Quarter 2009
Reasons why: Bentonite is already permitted as a processing aid and this Application is seeking a minor amendment to one criterion in the specification for it so that Australian sourced bentonite can be legally sold and used as a processing aid.		Estimated total hours (General Procedure) 214 Reasons why: • the processing aid is already permitted; • the Application seeks a minor amendment to a specification	
		 criterion; a simple safety and food technology assessment is required; The risk management options would be straight forward; and the amendment being sought would have limited social and economic impact. 	

DECISION

Application accepted	
Date: 7 July 2008	

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No ✓

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No ✓

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guideline/s within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application:

3.3.2 - Processing aids

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes **√** No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes **√**No

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes **√** No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No ✓

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes ✓ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy: Community Involvement Category:

Proposed length of public consultation period:

• General Procedure (6 weeks) Case of minor significance or complexity or with little adverse impact on individual interests

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 4 August 2008

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start) Mid-July 2009
Completion of Assessment Mid-October 2009

Public comment End October 2009 – Mid-December 2009

Board to complete Approval Mid-April 2010
Notification to Ministerial Council Late April 2010
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested Mid-July 2010